

CERTIFICATION

SDG No: JC16038R Laboratory: Accutest, New Jersey
 Site: BMSMC, Building 5 Area Matrix: Groundwater
 SM04.00.06
 Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken March 8-9, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey that reported the data under SDG No.: JC16038. Results were validated using the latest guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. Data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes. Some of the results were qualified.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC16038-1R	MW-13	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-2R	MW-7	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-3R	MW-3	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-4R	MW-5	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-5R	MW-16	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-6R	MW-16	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-7R	TB030902	VOCs
JC16038-8R	S-30	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-9R	FB030816	VOCs; SVOCs
JC16038-10R	MW-11	VOCs; SVOCs

Note: VOCs include: benzyl chloride, p-isopropylbenzene, tetrahydrofuran, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.

SVOCs include: 1-methylnaphthalene.

Reviewer Name: Rafael Infante
 Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Rafael Infante

Date:

May 4, 2016



SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-13	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-1R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204171R.D	1	03/16/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9384
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
100-44-7	Benzyl Chloride	ND	5.0	0.21	ug/l	
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	106%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	108%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-13	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-1R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155577.D	1	03/16/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

BN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	ND	1.0	0.26	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	75%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	68%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	73%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-7	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-2R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204139R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
100-44-7	Benzyl Chloride	ND	5.0	0.21	ug/l	
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	105%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	100%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-7	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-2R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155578.D	1	03/16/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

BN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	ND	1.1	0.28	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	73%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	68%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	76%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-3	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-3R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204147R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
100-44-7	Benzyl Chloride	ND	5.0	0.21	ug/l	
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.58	2.0	0.22	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	105%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	108%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	101%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-3	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-3R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155579R.D	1	03/16/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

BN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	59.2	1.0	0.26	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	67%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	64%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	68%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-5	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-4R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204148R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
100-44-7	Benzyl Chloride	ND	5.0	0.21	ug/l	
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	3.0	10	1.4	ug/l	J
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.9	2.0	0.22	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	105%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	100%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	100%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-5	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-4R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155580R.D	1	03/16/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

BN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	1.0	1.1	0.28	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	71%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	70%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	73%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-16	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-5R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	A221005R.D	1	03/17/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VA8370
Run #2							

	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	103%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	100%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	100%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	99%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-16	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-5R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155581.D	1	03/16/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

HN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	ND	1.1	0.28	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	72%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	66%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	71%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-16D	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-6R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204161R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	106%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-16D	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-6R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155582R.D	1	03/16/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

HN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	3.3	1.0	0.27	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	97%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	89%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	86%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	TB030902	Date Sampled:	03/09/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-7R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Trip Blank Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204159R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	105%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	108%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	100%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-30	Date Sampled:	03/08/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-8R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204162R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	105%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	104%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-30		Date Sampled: 03/08/16	
Lab Sample ID: JC16038-8R		Date Received: 03/11/16	
Matrix: AQ - Ground Water		Percent Solids: n/a	
Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C			
Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR			

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P103411.D	1	03/17/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EP4545
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

EN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	101%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	95%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	96%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	FB030816	Date Sampled:	03/08/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-9R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Field Blank Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204160R.D	1	03/15/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	105%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	FB030816	Date Sampled:	03/08/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-9R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Field Blank Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	F155576.D	1	03/15/16	SD	03/15/16	OP92078	EF6543
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

EN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene ^a	ND	1.0	0.26	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	90%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	83%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	83%		10-126%

(a) This compound in ICV is outside QC limits bias low.



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-11	Date Sampled:	03/08/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-10R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8260C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	U204163R.D	1	03/16/16	NH	n/a	n/a	VU9383
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
99-87-6	p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	ND	10	1.4	ug/l	
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	104%		76-120%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		73-122%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		84-119%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		78-117%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-11	Date Sampled:	03/08/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC16038-10R	Date Received:	03/11/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P103372.D	1	03/16/16	LK	03/15/16	OP92078	EP4542
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

BN Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	97%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	95%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	93%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

GN
FB
WTS

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Fresh Ponds Corporate Village, Building B
2235 Route 130, Dayton, NJ 08810
732-329-0200 FAX: 732-329-3499/3480

Account Job #: JC16038
Account Quote #:

Client Information				Facility Information				Analytical Information														
Anderson Mutholland & Associates				Anderson Mutholland																		
Name 2700 Westchester Avenue				Project Name																		
Address Purchase NY 10577				Location																		
City State Zip Terry Taylor				Project/PO #: BMS: Former Tank Farm																		
Send Report to: Phone #: 914-251-0400				FAX #: 914-251-1286																		
Field ID / Point of Collection		Collection		Sampled By		Matrix		# of bottles		Preservation		VOCs, 8280C		SVOC, 8270D		Volatile Alcohols, 8015B		SVOC, 8270D SIM		4000 Qc		
Date	Time																					
MW- 13	3/9/16	946	NMR	GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
MW- 7		1119		GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW- 3		1241		GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW- 5		1529		GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW- 16		1847		GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW- 16.D	3/9/16	1902	NMR	GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW-				GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW-				GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MW-				GW	8	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
TR030902	3/9/16	1902	NMR	W	4	X				X												
<div> <div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 21 Day Standard <input type="checkbox"/> 14 Day <input type="checkbox"/> 7 Days EMERGENCY <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Days) </div> <div> Approved By: _____ RUSH TAT is for FAX data unless previously approved. </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> NJ Reduced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NJ Full <input type="checkbox"/> FULL CLP <input type="checkbox"/> Disk Deliverable <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial "A" <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial "B" <input type="checkbox"/> ASP Category B <input type="checkbox"/> State Forms </div> </div> <div> Federal Express ID # <u>8012 1953 5581</u> Lab Trip Blank Date <u>3/27/16</u> Time <u>1000</u> SVOC Analysis by Method 8270D SIM for 1,4 Dioxane and Naphthalene only. </div>																						

Fed # 7758 4461 1366, 1355, / 8012 1955 581

SGS

104 of 125
ACCUTES1
JC16038

JC16038: Chain of Custody

Page 1 of 6

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Fresh Ponds Corporate Village, Building B
2235 Route 130, Dayton, NJ 08810
732-329-0200 FAX: 732-329-3499/3480

Account Job #:	JC16038
Account Quote #:	

Client Information				Facility Information				Analytical Information														
Anderson Mutholland & Associates				Anderson Mutholland and Associates Inc.																		
Name 2700 Westchester Avenue				Project Name																		
Address Purchase NY 10577				Location																		
City State Zip Terry Taylor				Project/PO #: BMS: Building 5 Area																		
Send Report to: Phone #: 914-251-0400				FAX #: 914-251-1286																		
Field ID / Point of Collection	Collection		Sampled By	Matrix	# of bottles	Preservation				VOCs, 8280C	SVOC, 8270D	Volatile Alcohols, 8015B	Organic Pesticides, 8081B	SVOC, 8270D SIM								
	Date	Time				IC	MAH	WOC	Other													
G-3D	3/8/16	1427	NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
FB030816	3/8/16	1430	NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								8
MW-11	3/8/16	1808	NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								9
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								10
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								
			NMR	GW	10	X				X	X	X	X	X								

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: JC16038R Laboratory: Accutest, New Jersey
Analysis: SW846-8260C Number of Samples: 10
Location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Eight (8) groundwater samples, one field blank, and one trip blank were analyzed for the selected VOA: benzyl chloride, p-isopropyltoluene, tetrahydrofuran, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene list following method SW846-8260C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence *Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-33A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation*. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: None
Major: None
Minor: 1. Initial calibration and initial calibration verification within the required criteria. Continuing calibration for benzyl chloride was outside the guidance document criteria. Benzyl chloride not detected in the sample. Benzyl chloride results qualified as estimated (UJ) as per guidance document criteria.
2. Closing calibration check verification not included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Critical findings: None
Major findings: None
Minor findings: None

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

Signature:



Date:

May 5, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC16038-1R

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 3/9/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzyl Chloride	5.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-2R

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 3/9/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzyl Chloride	5.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-3R

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 3/9/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzyl Chloride	5.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.58	ug/L	1.0	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-4R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzyl Chloride	5.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	3.0	ug/L	1.0	J	J	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.9	ug/L	1.0	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-5R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-6R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-7R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-8R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/8/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-9R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/8/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-10R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/8/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
p-isopropyltoluene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tetrahydrofuran	10	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	ug/L	1.0	-	U	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: JC16038
 Date: March 8-9, 2016
 Shipping date: March 9-10, 2016
 EPA Region: 2

REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: USEPA **Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-33A Revision 0 SOM02.2. Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation**. July, 2015. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: JC16038 Sample matrix: Groundwater
 No. of Samples: 10

Trip blank No.: JC16038-7R
 Field blank No.: JC16038-9R
 Equipment blank No.: -
 Field duplicate No.: JC16038-5R/-6R (MW-16/MW-16D)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments: Selected_VOA_(SW846_8260C):_benzyl_chloride;_p-isopropyltoluene;_tetrahydrofuran;_and_1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results
 U- Compound not detected
 R- Rejected data
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante
 Date: May 4, 2016

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
Samples analyzed within method recommended holding time. Sample preservation within required criteria.				

Criteria

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples ($\text{pH} \leq 2$, $4 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C , no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 14 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: $4 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$): 3.6°C - OK

Actions

Aqueous samples

a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($\text{pH} < 2$, $T = 4^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$), but the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [7 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.

b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [7 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

c. If the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.

d. If the samples were properly preserved, but were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

e. If air bubbles were present in the sample vial used for analysis, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J-) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).

Non-aqueous samples

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T < -7^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $T = 4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ and preserved with NaHSO_4), but the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as (UJ) or unusable (R) using professional judgment.
- b. If the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, but were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

Qualify TCLP/SPLP samples

- a. If the TCLP/SPLP ZHE procedure is performed within the extraction technical holding time of 14 days, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
- b. If the TCLP/SPLP ZHE procedure is performed outside the extraction technical holding time of 14 days, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. If TCLP/SPLP aqueous samples and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples are analyzed within the technical holding time of 7 days, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
- d. If TCLP/SPLP aqueous samples and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples are analyzed outside of the technical holding time of 7 days, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analyses - Summary

Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Action	
			Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
Aqueous	No	≤ 7 days	No qualification	
	No	> 7 days	J	R
	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification	
	Yes	> 14 days	J	R
Non-Aqueous	No	≤ 14 days	J	Professional judgment, UJ or R
	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification	
	Yes/No	> 14 days	J	R
TCLP/SPLP	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification	
TCLP/SPLP	No	> 14 days	J	R
TCLP/SPLP	ZHE performed within the 14-day technical holding time		No qualification	
TCLP/SPLP	ZHE performed outside the 14-day technical holding time		J	R
TCLP/SPLP aqueous & TCLP/SPLP leachate	Analyzed within 7 days		No qualification	
TCLP/SPLP aqueous & TCLP/SPLP leachate	Analyzed outside 7 days		J	R
Sample temperature outside 4°C ± 2°C upon receipt at the laboratory			Use professional judgment	
Holding times grossly exceeded			J	R

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met see below

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

 X The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

 X BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

NOTES: All mass spectrometer instrument conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortions for the sole purpose of meeting the method specifications are contrary to the Quality Assurance (QA) objectives, and are therefore unacceptable.

NOTES: No data should be qualified based on BFB failure. Instances of this should be noted in the narrative.

All ion abundance ratios must be normalized to m/z 95, the nominal base peak, even though the ion abundance of m/z 174 may be up to 120% that of m/z 95.

Actions:

If samples are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).

If ion abundance criteria are not met, professional judgment may be applied to determine to what extent the data may be utilized. When applying professional judgment to this topic, the most important factors to consider are the empirical results that are relatively insensitive to location on the chromatographic profile and the type of instrumentation. Therefore, the critical ion abundance criteria for BFB are the m/z 95/96, 174/175, 174/176, and 176/177 ratios. The relative abundances of m/z 50 and 75 are of lower importance. This issue is more critical for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) than for target analytes.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with BFB instrument performance checks not meeting contract requirements.

Note: Verify that that instrument instrument performance check criteria were achieved using techniques described in Low/Medium Volatiles Organic Analysis, Section II.D.5 of the SOM02.2 NFG, obtain additional information on the instrument performance checks. Make sure that background subtraction was performed from the BFB peak and not from background subtracting from the solvent front or from another region of the chromatogram.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Use professional judgment to determine whether associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compound.

List the samples affected:

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 03/04/16 02/26/16
 Dates of continuing (initial) calibration: 03/04/16 02/26/16
 Dates of continuing calibration: 03/17/16 03/15/16; 03/16/15
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMSA GCMSU
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB FILE	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
	ID#	RFs, %RSD, %D, r		
03/15/16	cc9367-50	55.2 %	Benzyl chloride	JC16038-6R; -7R; 8R; -9R; and -10R

Criteria

The analyte calibration criteria in the following Table must be obtained. Analytes not meeting the criteria are qualified.

Note: Benzyl chloride not detected in the samples. Benzyl chloride results qualified as estimated (UJ) as per guideline criteria.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Initial Calibration - Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria for Initial Calibration and CCV for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum %D
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.010	25.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloromethane	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Vinyl chloride	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Bromomethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	0.050	25.0	±25.0	±50.0
Acetone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Carbon disulfide	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Methyl acetate	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Methylene chloride	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.100	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Butanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Bromochloromethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chloroform	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Cyclohexane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Carbon tetrachloride	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Trichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methylcyclohexane	0.050	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.030	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Toluene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Ethylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum
m,p-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
o-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Styrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromoform	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Isopropylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.010	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compound				
Vinyl chloride-d ₃	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane-d ₅	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene-d ₂	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2-Butanone-d ₆	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloroform-d	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane-d ₄	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene-d ₆	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloropropane-d ₄	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Toluene-d ₈	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d ₄	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone-d ₈	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d ₂	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

- ¹ If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes and DMCs must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Actions:

1. If any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum in the table, use professional judgment for detects, based on mass spectral identification, to qualify the data as estimated (J+ or R).
 - a. If any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum criterion, qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - b. If any of the volatile target compounds listed in the Table has %RSD greater than the criteria, qualify detects as estimated (J), and non-detected compounds using professional judgment.
 - c. If the volatile target compounds meet the acceptance criteria for RRF and the %RSD, no qualification of the data is necessary.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- d. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMC RRF and %RSD data alone. Use professional judgment and follow the guidelines in Action 2 to evaluate the DMC RRF and %RSD data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need for qualification of data.
2. At the reviewer's discretion, and based on the project-specific Data Quality Objectives (DQOs), a more in-depth review may be considered using the following guidelines:
 - a. If any volatile target compound has a %RSD greater than the maximum criterion in the Table, and if eliminating either the high or the low-point of the curve does not restore the %RSD to less than or equal to the required maximum:
 - i. Qualify detects for that compound(s) as estimated (J).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected volatile target compounds using professional judgment.
 - b. If the high-point of the curve is outside of the linearity criteria (e.g., due to saturation):
 - i. Qualify detects outside of the linear portion of the curve as estimated (J).
 - ii. No qualifiers are required for detects in the linear portion of the curve.
 - iii. No qualifiers are required for volatile target compounds that were not detected.
 - c. If the low-point of the curve is outside of the linearity criteria:
 - i. Qualify low-level detects in the area of non-linearity as estimated (J).
 - ii. No qualifiers are required for detects in the linear portion of the curve.
 - iii. For non-detected volatile compounds, use the lowest point of the linear portion of the curve to determine the new quantitation limit.

Note: If the laboratory has failed to provide adequate calibration information, inform the Region's designated representative to contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data.

State in the Data Review Narrative, if possible, the potential effects on the data due to calibration criteria exceedance.

Note, for the Laboratory COR action, if calibration criteria are grossly exceeded.

Table. Initial Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis – Summary

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R
RRF > Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

NOTE: Verify that the CCV was run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period) and the CCV was compared to the correct initial calibration. If the mid-point standard from the initial calibration is used as an opening CCV, verify that the result (RRF) of the mid-point standard was compared to the average RRF from the correct initial calibration.

The closing CCV used to bracket the end of a 12-hour analytical sequence may be used as the opening CCV for the new 12-hour analytical sequence, provided that all the technical acceptance criteria are met for an opening CCV (see criteria show before in the Table) . If the closing CCV does not meet the technical acceptance criteria for an opening CCV, then a BFB tune followed by an opening CCV is required and the next 12-hour time period begins with the BFB tune.

All DMCs must meet RRF criteria. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D data alone. However, use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need of qualification the data.

Action:

1. If a CCV (opening and closing) was not run at the appropriate frequency, qualify data using professional judgment.
2. Qualify all volatile target compounds in Table shown before using the following criteria:
 - a. For an opening CCV, if any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum criterion, use professional judgment for detects, based on mass spectral identification, to qualify the data as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - b. For a closing CCV, if any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the criteria, use professional judgment for detects based on mass spectral identification to qualify the data as estimated (J), and qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - c. For an opening CCV, if the Percent Difference value for any of the volatile target compounds is outside the limits in calibration criteria Table shown before, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).
 - d. For a closing CCV, if the Percent Difference value for any volatile target compound is outside the limits in calibration criteria table, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).
 - e. If the volatile target compounds meet the acceptable criteria for RRF and the Percent Difference, no qualification of the data is necessary.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- f. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMC RRF and the Percent Difference data alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC RRF and Percent Difference data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need for qualification of data.

Notes: If the laboratory has failed to provide adequate calibration information, inform the Region's designated representative to contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data.

State in the Data Review Narrative, if possible, the potential effects on the data due to calibration criteria exceedance.

Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, if calibration criteria are grossly exceeded.

Table. Continuing Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis – Summary

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action	
		Detect	Non-detect
CCV not performed at required frequency	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table for target analyte	J	UJ
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

The concentration of a target analyte in any blank must not exceed its Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) (2x CRQLs for Methylene chloride, Acetone, and 2-Butanone). TIC concentration in any blanks must be $\leq 5.0 \mu\text{g/L}$ for water (0.0050 mg/L for TCLP leachate) and $\leq 5.0 \mu\text{g/kg}$ for soil matrices.

Laboratory blanks

The method blank, like any other sample in the SDG, must meet the technical acceptance criteria for sample analysis.

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_No_target_analyte_detected_in_method_blanks.				
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Field/Equipment/Trip blank

If field or trip blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_No_target_analytes_detected_in_the_trip/field_blanks._No_equipment_blank_analyzed_as_part_of_this_data_package.				
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Note: All fields blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks and trip blanks must be qualified for system monitoring compounds, instrument performance criteria, and spectral or calibration QC problems.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

When applied as described in the Table below, the contaminant concentration in the blank is multiplied by the sample dilution factor.

Table. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
Method, Storage, Field, Trip, TCLP/SPLP LEB, Instrument**	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL *	< CRQL *	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥ CRQL *	No qualification required
	> CRQL *	< CRQL *	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥ CRQL * and ≤ blank concentration	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U
		≥ CRQL * and > blank concentration	No qualification required
	= CRQL *	≤ CRQL *	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL *	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U

* 2x the CRQL for methylene chloride, 2-butanone and acetone.

** Qualifications based on instrument blank results affect only the sample analyzed immediately after the sample that has target compounds that exceed the calibration range or non-target compounds that exceed 100 µg/L.

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike (DMCs) recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Table. Volatile Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) and Recovery Limits

DMC	%R for Water Sample	%R for Soil Sample
Vinyl chloride-d3	60-135	30-150
Chloroethane-d5	70-130	30-150
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	60-125	45-110
2-Butanone-d5	40-130	20-135
Chloroform-d	70-125	40-150
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	70-125	70-130
Benzene-d6	70-125	20-135
1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	70-120	70-120
Toluene-d8	80-120	30-130
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	60-125	30-135
2-Hexanone-d5	45-130	20-135
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	65-120	45-120
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-120	75-120

NOTE: The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in the above Table may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) determines that the limits are too restrictive.

Action:

Are recoveries for DMCs in volatile samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in the Table above. Yes? or No?

NOTE: The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in the Table above may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

List the DMCs that may fail to meet the recovery limits

Sample ID	Date	DMCs	% Recovery	Action
-----------	------	------	------------	--------

DMCs recoveries within the required limits. Other non-deuterated surrogates added to the samples within laboratory control limits.

Note: Any sample which has more than 3 DMCs outside the limits must be reanalyzed.

Action:

1. For any recovery greater than the upper acceptance limit:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds.
2. For any recovery greater than or equal to 10%, and less than the lower acceptance limit:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated (UJ).
3. For any recovery less than 10%:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds as unusable (R).
4. For any recovery within acceptance limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.
5. In the special case of a blank analysis having DMCs out of specification, the reviewer must give special consideration to the validity of associated sample data. The basic concern is whether the blank problems represent an isolated problem with the blank alone, or whether there is a fundamental problem with the analytical process. For example, if one or more samples in the batch show acceptable DMC recoveries, the reviewer may choose to consider the blank problem to be an isolated occurrence. However, even if this judgment allows some use of the affected data, note analytical problems for Contract Laboratory COR action.
6. If more than three DMCs are outside of the recovery limits for Low/Medium volatiles analysis and the sample was not reanalyzed, note under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.

Table. Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses – Summary

Criteria	Action	
	Detect Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds
%R < 10%	J-	R
10% ≤ %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ
Lower Acceptance Limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

TABLE. VOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs) AND THE ASSOCIATED TARGET COMPOUNDS

Vinyl chloride-d₃ (DMC-1)	Chloroethane-d₅ (DMC-2)	1,1-Dichloroethene-d₂ (DMC-3)
Vinyl chloride	Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Bromomethane Chloroethane Carbon disulfide	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1,1-Dichloroethene
2-Butanone-d₆ (DMC-4)	Chloroform-d (DMC-5)	1,2-Dichloroethane-d₄ (DMC-6)
Acetone 2-Butanone	1,1-Dichloroethane Bromochloromethane Chloroform Dibromochloromethane Bromoform	Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane Methyl acetate Methylene chloride Methyl-tert-butyl ether 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Carbon tetrachloride 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane
Benzene-d₆ (DMC-7)	1,2-Dichloropropane-d₅ (DMC-8)	Toluene-d₈ (DMC-9)
Benzene	Cyclohexane Methylcyclohexane 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane	Trichloroethene Toluene Tetrachloroethene Ethylbenzene o-Xylene m,p-Xylene Styrene Isopropylbenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d₄ (DMC-10)	2-Hexanone-d₈ (DMC-11)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d₂ (DMC-12)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4-Methyl-2-pentanone 2-Hexanone	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d₄ (DMC-13)		
Chlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene		

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

NOTES: Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.
 Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: JC16101-1MS	Matrix/Level: Groundwater
Sample ID: JC16065-1MS	Matrix/Level: Groundwater
Sample ID: JC16250-14MS/MSD	Matrix/Level: Groundwater

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
MS/MSD%_recovery_and_RPD_within_laboratory_control_limits_for_target_compounds_					

MS/MSD criteria apply to the unspiked sample. Unspiked sample belongs to from another data package.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Actions:

1. No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD?
 Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.
 List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
____Recoveries_(blank_spike)_within_laboratory_control_limits_____			

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: JC16038-5R/-6R

Matrix: Groundwater

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. Use professional judgment to note large RPDs (> 50%) in the narrative.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
RPD within required criteria, < 50 % for target analytes detected in sample and duplicate.					

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions are suggested based on professional judgment:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: JC16102-2/-2DUP

Matrix: Groundwater

Sample IDs: JC16065-2/-2DUP

Matrix: Groundwater

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. Use professional judgment to note large RPDs (> 50%) in the narrative.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
RPD within required criteria, < 50 % for target analytes detected in sample and duplicate.					

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions are suggested based on professional judgment:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	------------------	--------

Internal standard area counts within the required criteria.

Action:

1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 20.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 30.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 30.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

6. If required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank, qualify detects and non-detects as unusable (R).
7. If the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration in a sample or blank, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects.

Table. Internal Standard Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses - Summary

Criteria	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds*	Non-detected Associated Compounds*
Area counts > 200% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J-	No qualification
Area counts < 20% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J+	R
Area counts \geq 50% but \leq 200% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification	
RT difference > 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	R **	R
RT difference \leq 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification	

* For volatile compounds associated to each internal standard, see TABLE - VOLATILE TARGET ANALYTES, DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS WITH ASSOCIATED INTERNAL STANDARDS FOR QUANTITATION in SOM02.2, Exhibit D, available at: <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/clp/download/som/som22d.pdf>

** Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Criteria:

Is the Relative Retention Times (RRTs) of reported compounds within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT [opening Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) or mid-point standard from the initial calibration]. Yes? or No?

List compounds not meeting the criteria described above:

Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Mass spectra of the sample compound and a current laboratory-generated standard [i.e., the mass spectrum from the associated calibration standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)] must match according to the following criteria:

- All ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% must be present in the sample spectrum.
- The relative intensities of these ions must agree within $\pm 20\%$ between the standard and sample spectra (e.g., for an ion with an abundance of 50% in the standard spectrum, the corresponding sample ion abundance must be between 30-70%).
- Ions present at greater than 10% in the sample mass spectrum, but not present in the standard spectrum, must be evaluated by a reviewer experienced in mass spectral interpretation.

List compounds not meeting the criteria described above:

Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Action:

1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

List TICs

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
=====			
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	

Action:

1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene).

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).
 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

1. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
2. For non-aqueous samples, in the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table below).
3. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
4. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
5. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves are not reported.

Table. Percent Moisture Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification	
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID

Blank spike

p-isopropyltoluene

RF = 2.878

[] = (549648)(50)/(2.878)(172279) = 55.4 ppb Ok

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

B. Percent Solids

List samples which have $\geq 70\%$ solids

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

[illegible]

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒ X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

OTHER ISSUES

A. System Performance

List samples qualified based on the degradation of system performance during sample analysis:

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
=====		
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Action:

Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that system performance has degraded during sample analyses. Inform the Contract Laboratory Program COR any action as a result of degradation of system performance which significantly affected the data.

B. Overall Assessment of Data

List samples qualified based on other issues:

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
=====		
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Action:

1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **JC16038R** Laboratory: **Accutest, New Jersey**
Analysis: **SW846-8270D** Number of Samples: **9**
Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Eight (8) groundwater samples and one (1) field blank were analyzed for 1-Methylnaphthalene following method SW846-8270D. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. *Semivolatile Data Validation*. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: **None**
Major: **None**
Minor: **1. Closing calibration verification not included in date package. None of the results were qualified, professional judgment.**
2. 1-Methylnaphthalene did not meet the validation guidance document %D required criteria. Results for 1-methylnaphthalene qualified as estimated (J) or (U).

Critical findings: **None**
Major findings: **None**
Minor findings: **None**

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: **Rafael Infante**
Chemist License 1888

Signature:



Date:

May 5, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC16038-1R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-2R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-3R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	59.2	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-4R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-5R
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-6R
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/9/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	3.3	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-8R
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/8/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-9R
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/8/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC16038-10R
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 3/8/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1-methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: JC16038
 Date: March 8-9, 2016
 Shipping Date: March 9-10, 2016
 EPA Region: 2

REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. *Semivolatile Data Validation*. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: JC16038 Sample matrix: Groundwater
 No. of Samples: 9_Full_scan

Trip blank No.: -

Field blank No.: JC16038-9R

Equipment blank No.: -

Field duplicate No.: JC16038-5R/-6R_(MW-16/MW-16D)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments: 1-methylnaphthalene_by_method_SW846-8270D_(Scan).

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results
 U- Compound not detected
 R- Rejected data
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante
 Date: May 4, 2016

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
All samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time.				

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 3.6°C

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Action	
			Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
Aqueous	No	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R
Non-Aqueous	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met see below

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

 X The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

 X DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List _____ the _____ samples _____ affected:

Actions:

1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 01/30/16; 02/01/16 (Scan) _____

Instrument ID numbers: GCMSF _____

Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low _____

Date of initial calibration: 02/24/16; 03/02/16 (Scan) _____

Instrument ID numbers: GCMSF _____

Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low _____

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial calibration meets the required criteria.					

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Chrysene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds				
Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d ₅	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-d ₈	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d ₃	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d ₃	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d ₈	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

¹ If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 02/24/16; 03/02/16 (Scan)
Date of initial calibration verification (CCV): 02/24/16; 03/02/16
Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV): 03/16/16; 03/17/16
Date of closing CCV: -
Instrument ID numbers: GCMSP
Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration response factors meet the guideline document criteria.					

Note: Continuing calibration verifications %D outside the method criteria but within the guidance document %D required criteria. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 01/30/16; 02/01/16 (Scan)
 Date of initial calibration verification (CCV): 01/30/16; 02/01/16
 Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV): 03/15/16
 Date of closing CCV: -
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMSF
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RfS, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
01/30/16	icv-6483-50	32.4 %	1-methylnaphthalene	JC16038-1R to -6R; JC16038-10R

Note: Initial calibration verifications %D outside the guidance document %D required criteria. Results for 1-methylnaphthalene qualified as estimated (J) and (UJ) in affected samples.

No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action	
		Detect	Non-detect
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R
RRF \geq Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF \geq Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	UJ
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have an associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_analytes_detected_in_method_blanks. _____				
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Field/Equipment/Trip blank

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_equipment/trip_blanks_analyzed_with_this_data_package._No_target_analyte_detected_in_the_field_blank_____				
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
Method, TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
	≥ CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES – DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ
Lower Acceptance limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix: _____

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND	ACTION
_DMCs_meet_the_required_criteria._Non-deuterated_surrogates_added_to_the_samples_____		
_within_laboratory_recovery_limits._____		

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-d₈ (DMC-1)	Phenol-d₅ (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d₈ (DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde Phenol	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane) Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
2-Chlorophenol-d₄ (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-d₄ (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d₄ (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol 3-Methylphenol 4-Methylphenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Chloroaniline Hexachlorocyclopentadiene Dichlorobenzidine
Nitrobenzene-d₅ (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d₄ (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d₃ (DMC-9)
Acetophenone N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine Hexachloroethane Nitrobenzene 2,6-Dinitrotoluene 2,4-Dinitrotoluene N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	Isophorone 2-Nitrophenol	2,4-Dichlorophenol Hexachlorobutadiene Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene *Pentachlorophenol 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d₄ (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-d₈ (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d₄ (DMC-12)
Caprolactam 1,1'-Biphenyl Dimethylphthalate Diethylphthalate Di-n-butylphthalate Butylbenzylphthalate Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	*Naphthalene *2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene *Acenaphthylene *Acenaphthene	2-Nitroaniline 3-Nitroaniline 2,4-Dinitrophenol 4-Nitrophenol 4-Nitroaniline

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂ (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine *Phenanthrene *Anthracene
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene *Pyrene *Benzo(a)anthracene *Chrysene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine *Benzo(b)fluoranthene *Benzo(k)fluoranthene *Benzo(a)pyrene *Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene *Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene *Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

*Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES: Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.
 Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: JC16090-1MS/-1MSD Matrix/Level: Groundwater

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
<u> MS/MSD recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits. </u>					

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	------------------	--------

Internal standard area counts meet the required criteria.

Action:

1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	UJ
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Criteria:

Is the Relative Retention Times (RRTs) of reported compounds within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT [opening Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) or mid-point standard from the initial calibration]. **Yes?** or No?

List compounds not meeting the criteria described above:

Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Mass spectra of the sample compound and a current laboratory-generated standard [i.e., the mass spectrum from the associated calibration standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)] must match according to the following criteria:

- All ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% must be present in the sample spectrum.
- The relative intensities of these ions must agree within $\pm 20\%$ between the standard and sample spectra (e.g., for an ion with an abundance of 50% in the standard spectrum, the corresponding sample ion abundance must be between 30-70%).
- Ions present at greater than 10% in the sample mass spectrum, but not present in the standard spectrum, must be evaluated by a reviewer experienced in mass spectral interpretation.

List compounds not meeting the criteria described above:

Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Identified_compounds_meet_the_required_criteria

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Action:

1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

List TICs

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
=====		=====	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	

Action:

1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action	
	Detects	Non-detects
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
10.0% ≤ %Solids ≤ 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID: JC16038-3R Analyte: 1-Methylnaphthalene RF: 0.734

$$\begin{aligned}
 [] &= (641536)(40)/(591079)(0.734) \\
 &= 59.2 \text{ ppm} \quad \text{Ok}
 \end{aligned}$$

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

[illegible]

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met _____
 Criteria were not met _____
 and/or see below X

FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: _____JC16038-5/-6_____

Matrix: _____Groundwater_____

Field duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
Acenaphthene	0.29	ND	0.44	-	No action
Fluorene	0.31	ND	0.49	-	No action
2-Methyl naphthalene	0.30	ND	3.4	-	Qualify the results as estimated (J) in JC16038-5/-6.
Naphthalene	0.30	ND	2.3	-	
Phenanthrene	0.24	ND	0.61	-	No action

Note: No action taken, professional judgment. Sample and duplicate < 5 SQL.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

OTHER ISSUES

A. System Performance

List samples qualified based on the degradation of system performance during sample analysis:

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Action:

Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that system performance has degraded during sample analyses. Inform the Contract Laboratory Program COR any action as a result of degradation of system performance which significantly affected the data.

B. Overall Assessment of Data

List samples qualified based on other issues:

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_No_other_issues_that_required_the_need_to_qualify_the_data._Results_are_valid_and_can_be _used_for_decission_purposes._____		
_____	_____	_____

Action:

1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).
3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results